Property Values and Utility-Scale Solar Facilities

Introduction

The utility-scale solar industry has seen significant growth over the past decade and demand for renewable energy continues to grow as utility companies increase their investment in solar energy to meet customer demand, keep electricity prices affordable, and diversify their energy portfolio. The solar industry drives economic development, especially in rural communities, and can benefit all property owners through tax payments for roads, schools, and community services. In 2020, utility-scale solar projects contributed $750 million in state and local taxes and land-lease payments to property owners and have invested nearly $116 billion total in projects nationwide.1 The industry also supports 120,000 jobs across all 50 states.

Background

Utility-scale solar is the fastest growing source of renewable energy in the United States with 12 gigawatts (GW) of capacity added to the grid in 2020 and 15.5 GW of capacity added in 2021.2 According to the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA), solar power will account for nearly half of new U.S. electric generating capacity in 2022 with an expected growth by 21.5 GW in 2022.3 There is generally broad support across the United States to increase solar capacity. However, as utility-scale solar installations require large tracts of land, some communities have raised concerns a nearby solar facility may impact local property values. Real world experience has demonstrated this to not be true.

Research shows solar projects have not adversely impacted neighboring properties

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) partnered with the University of Texas at Austin to support student-led research on solar energy markets and economics. An LBNL partnered study from the University of Texas at Austin, LBJ School of Public Affairs4 used geographic information systems (GIS) data to evaluate 956 unique solar projects completed in 2016 or earlier across the United States. The researchers surveyed approximately 400 property value assessors nationwide, asking if the assessor believed there was an impact on home prices, the scale and direction of those impacts, and the source of those impacts. The results indicate that most respondents believe that proximity to a solar installation has either no impact or a positive impact on home values. The study also found that the assessors who responded to the survey believe that some features of solar facilities may be associated with positive impacts, such as a location on land that previously had an unappealing use, or the presence of trees or other visual barriers around the array.5 Furthermore, as the expected lifetime of a solar facility is at least thirty years, residents have assurance the nearby land will not be redeveloped for an unfavorable use.

The University of Rhode Island published, “Property Value Impacts of Commercial-Scale Solar Energy in Massachusetts and Rhode Island”6 in September 2020.

---

3Ibid.
5Al-Hamoodah et al. 2018.
The study sought to quantify the effect of proximity to solar on property values by examining existing solar installations in Massachusetts and Rhode Island. The study evaluated 208 solar facilities, 71,373 housing sales occurring within one-mile of solar facilities (Test Group), and 343,921 sales between one-to-three miles of a solar facility (Control Group). Because the authors used a regression analysis model commonly used in real estate pricing and quality adjustment for price indexes to estimate the impact that various factors have on the price or demand for property, it allowed them to isolate specific variables that could impact value, including isolating rural and non-rural locations.

The study defines “Rural,” as an area having a “population density of 850 people per square mile or fewer.”

The study provides data which found no negative impact to residential home values near solar arrays in rural areas: “these results suggest that [the impacts on home sales in the Test Area] in rural areas is effectively zero (a statistically insignificant 0.1%), and that the negative externalities of solar arrays are only occurring in non-rural areas.” Further, the study tested to determine if the size of the solar installation impacted nearby property values, and found no evidence of differential property values impacts by the solar installation's size.

Similar results were found in a study published by Dr. Nino Abashidze, School of Economics, Georgia Institute of Technology, dated October 20, 2020, and titled “Utility Scale Solar Farms and Agricultural Land Values.” Abashidze examined 451 solar farms in North Carolina. “Across many samples and specifications, we find no direct negative or positive spillover effect of a solar farm construction on nearby agricultural land values. Although there are no direct effects of solar farms on nearby agricultural land values, we do find evidence that suggests construction of a solar farm may create a small, positive, option-value for landowners that is capitalized into land prices. Specifically, after construction of a nearby solar farm, we find that agricultural land that is also located near transmission infrastructure may increase modestly in value.”

Additionally, numerous property value studies have found no evidence of decreased property values after construction of a solar farm:

- Marous & Company studied the value of properties adjacent to solar projects in Wisconsin and concluded that concerns regarding negative property value impacts were not substantiated. There is no market data indicating a solar project will have a negative impact on either rural residential or agricultural property values in the surrounding area. Further, for agricultural properties that host photovoltaic panels, the study indicated that the additional income from the solar lease, from the solar leases and other solar agreements, may increase the value and marketability of those properties. The study also included an in-depth analysis of recent residential sales near existing solar farms in Minnesota, North Carolina, Indiana, Arizona, and Illinois. The analysis concluded that proximity of a solar farm does not have any measurable negative impact on surrounding residential property values.

- The Chisago County (Minnesota) Assessor’s Office conducted their own study on property prices adjacent to and in the close vicinity of the North Star solar farm in Chisago County, Minnesota. At the November 2017 Chisago County Board meeting, John Keefe, the Chisago County Assessor, presented data from his study. He concluded that the North Star solar farm had, “no adverse impact” on property values. His study encompassed 15 parcels that sold and were adjacent or in the close vicinity to the solar farm between January 2016 and October 2017; the control group used for comparison comprised of over 700 sales within the county. Almost all of the [Test Area] properties sold were at a price above the assessed value. He further stated that, “It seems conclusive that valuation has not suffered.”

---


7 The University of Rhode Island study’s conclusion that there may be an impact to non-rural communities is surmised is that "land is abundant in rural areas, so the development of some land into solar does little to impact scarcity, whereas in non-rural areas it makes a noticeable impact."
• CohnReznick, LLP has studied sale prices of single-family homes and agricultural land properties adjacent to solar farms in over 15 states, using appropriate Paired Sales methodology\(^{10}\), as well as Before/After resale (appreciation rate) analysis, and concluded that the solar farms did not adversely affect property values in either the short or long term. Their research also includes reviewing published studies prepared by academia, as well as other appraisers, and conducting interviews with county assessors and local real estate professionals, who have experience with properties transacting near existing solar facilities in their respective communities. The consensus is that solar farms in their areas had not impacted property values.

• Kirkland Appraisals, LLC studied the value of properties adjacent to solar farms in North Carolina.\(^{11}\) Kirkland’s analyses strongly support the compatibility of solar farms with adjoining agriculture and residential uses and conclude that there was no impact in home values due to proximity of a solar farm.

• Donald Fisher, ARA who has served six years as Chair of the American Society of Farm Managers and Rural Appraisers, and has prepared several market studies examining the impact of solar on residential values was quoted in a press release dated February 15, 2021 stating, “Most of the locations were in either suburban or rural areas, and all of these studies found either a neutral impact or, ironically, a positive impact, where values on properties after the installation of solar farms went up higher than time trends.”

• Christian P. Kaila & Associates studied the value of properties adjacent to solar farms in Virginia.\(^{12}\) The analysis concluded that adjacent property value, (for both residential and agricultural property), was not adversely affected by construction and operation of solar facilities.

The utility-scale solar industry recognizes the importance of engaging with the host community to balance economic, environmental, safety, and social concerns when developing and operating their projects. In their siting and application process, successful solar developers have prioritized being a good neighbor and a long-term partner with host communities.


\(^{10}\)Chisago County Press: County Board Real Estate Update Shows No “Solar Effects” (11/03/2017).

\(^{11}\)Bell, Randall, PhD, MAI. Real Estate Damages. Third ed. Chicago, IL: Appraisal Institute, 2016. (Page 33).
